arXiv:math/0011124v1 [math.SG] 17 Nov 2000

Sets of singular restrictions of symplectic forms

Mark A. Pankov

Institute of Mathematics, Kiev, Ukraine
e-mail: `pankov@imath.kiev.ua`

1 Introduction

Let V be an n-dimensional vector space over some field F. We start with the following well-known definitions.

Definition 1 For an automorphism $\sigma \in Aut(F)$ and other vector space V' over the field F a mapping $f : V \to V'$ is called *σ-linear* if

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) \quad \forall\, x, y \in V$$

and

$$f(ax) = \sigma(a)f(x) \quad \forall\, x \in V,\ a \in F\ .$$

In the case when

$$Ker f = 0 \ \text{ and } \ f(V) = V'$$

f is a bijection of V onto V' and we say that f is a *σ-linear isomorphism* of V onto V'. Moreover, if $V = V'$ then the isomorphism f will be called a *σ-linear transformation* of V. We shall often exploited the term "linear" in plase of σ-linear.

Definition 2 Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in Aut(F)$. A mapping

$$\Omega : V \times V \to F$$

is a (σ_1, σ_2)-*bilinear form* on V if

$$\Omega(\cdot, x) \ \text{ and } \ \Omega(x, \cdot)$$

are σ_1-linear and σ_2-linear functionals on V. In what follows we shall often say that a form is "bilinear"; the full name will be used only for some special cases.

Definition 3 A bilinear form Ω defined on V is called *symplectic* if the equality $\Omega(x, x) = 0$ holds for each $x \in V$.

Remark 1 Recall that a bilinear form Ω on V is called *skew-symmetric* if

$$\Omega(x, y) = -\Omega(y, x) \quad \forall\, x, y \in V\ .$$

Each symplectic form is skew-symmetric. The inverse statement holds only if the characterystic of the field F is not equal to 2. In the case when $char F = 2$ there exists a skew-symmetric forn which is not symplectic.

Remark 2 An immediate verification shows that for each skew-symmetric (σ_1, σ_2)-bilinear form Ω we have $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$.

Now assume that our symplectic form Ω is *non-singular* (then the number n is even). Denote by $\mathbb{G}^n_k$ the Grassmannian manifold of k-dimensional planes contained in V and consider the set $S^n_k(\Omega)$ of all planes $s \in \mathbb{G}^n_k$ such that the restriction of the form Ω onto s is singular.

Remark 3 The forms $a\Omega$ and $\sigma\Omega$ are symplectic for each $a \in F$ and $\sigma \in Aut(F)$. Moreover,

$$S^n_k(\Omega) = S^n_k(a\Omega) = S^n_k(\sigma\Omega)\ .$$

Any two non-singular symplectic form Ω_1 and Ω_2 on V are *equivalent*; i.e. there exists a σ-linear transformation f of V such that

$$\Omega_2(x, y) = \Omega_1(f(x), f(y)) \quad \forall\, x, y \in V\ .$$

This implies that the set $S^n_k(\Omega_2)$ can be transfered to $S^n_k(\Omega_1)$ by the transformation of $\mathbb{G}^n_k$ induced by f.

Remark 4 Each σ-linear transformation of V ($\sigma \in Aut(V)$) induces some transformation of the Grassmannian manifold $\mathbb{G}^n_k$. This fact will be often exploited in what follows.

Any symplectic form defined on an odd-dimensional vector space is singular. Therefore, the sets $S^n_k(\Omega)$ and $\mathbb{G}^n_k$ are coincident if the number k is odd. For the case when k is even the structure of $S^n_k(\Omega)$ is more complicated. However, for the cases $k = 2, n-2$ there exists a simple geometrical characteristic of this set. We shall study it above.

Let $s \in \mathbb{G}^n_m$ and $m \neq k$. Denote by $\mathbb{G}^n_k(s)$ the set of all planes $l \in \mathbb{G}^n_k$ satisfying the condition

$$l \subset s \text{ if } m > k$$

or

$$s \subset l \text{ if } m < k\ .$$

It must be pointed out that $\mathbb{G}^n_k(s)$ can be considered as some Grassmannian manifold.

Definition 4 Let $X \subset \mathbb{G}^n_k$ and $k = 2$ or $n-2$. In the case $k = n-2$ we say that X satisfies condition $\mathcal{S}$ if for each plane $s \in \mathbb{G}^n_{n-1}$ there exists a line $F(s)$ contained in s and such that

$$\mathbb{G}^n_k(s) \cap X = \mathbb{G}^n_k(s) \cap \mathbb{G}^n_k(F(s))\ ; \tag{1}$$

in other words, the set $\mathbb{G}^n_k(s) \cap X$ consists of all planes containing some line. In the case $k = 2$ the set X satisfies condition $\mathcal{S}$ if for each line $s \in \mathbb{G}^n_1$ there exists a plane $F(s) \in \mathbb{G}^n_{n-1}$ containing s and such that equality (1) holds true.

It is not difficult to prove (Section 3) that the set $S^n_k(\Omega)$ ($k = 2, n-2$) satisfies condition $\mathcal{S}$. This paper is devoted to prove the inverse statement.

Theorem 1 *For a set $X \subset \mathbb{G}^n_k$, $k = 2$ or $n-2$, the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *X satisfies condition $\mathcal{S}$,*
- (ii) *there exists a non-singular symplectic form Ω on V such that $X = S^n_k(\Omega)$.*

For the case when $k \neq 2, n-2$ (clearly, the number k is even) the similar characteristic of the set $S^n_k(\Omega)$ is not found yet.

2 Bijections of $\mathbb{G}_k^n$ onto $\mathbb{G}_{n-k}^n$ defined by bilinear forms

Let Ω be a non-singular bilinear form on V and $s \in \mathbb{G}_k^n$. Denote by $s_\Omega^\perp$ the Ω-orthogonal complement to s; i.e.

$$s_\Omega^\perp = \{ \, y \in V \mid \Omega(x, y) = 0 \quad \forall \, x \in s \, \} \, .$$

Then $s_\Omega^\perp \in \mathbb{G}_{n-k}^n$ and the form Ω defines the mapping of

$$F_{k\,n-k}^n(\Omega) : \mathbb{G}_k^n \to \mathbb{G}_{n-k}^n$$

tranferring each plane to the Ω-orthogonal complement. It is a bijection onto.

We shall use the following properties of bijections defined by non-singular bilinear forms.

A *For each non-singular form Ω on V there exists a non-singular form Ω' such that*

$$(F_{k\,n-k}^n(\Omega))^{-1} = F_{n-k\,k}^n(\Omega') \, .$$

Define

$$\Omega'(x, y) = \Omega(y, x) \quad \forall \, x, y \in V \, .$$

It is trivial that the form Ω' is non-singular and satisfies the required condition.

B *The equality*

$$(F_{k\,n-k}^n(\Omega))^{-1} = F_{n-k\,k}^n(\Omega)$$

holds for some non-singular bilinear forn Ω if and only if this form is reflexive; i.e. the following two conditions

$$\Omega(x, y) = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \Omega(y, x) = 0$$

are equivalent for any $x, y \in V$.

C *For any two non-singular bilinear forms Ω_1 and Ω_2 on V there exist transformations f and g of $\mathbb{G}_k^n$ and $\mathbb{G}_{n-k}^n$ (respectively) induced by linear transformations of V and such that*

$$F_{k\,n-k}^n(\Omega_2) = F_{k\,n-k}^n(\Omega_1) f = g F_{k\,n-k}^n(\Omega_1)$$

(see [4]).

D Properties A and C imply that *for any two non-singular bilinear forms* Ω_1 *and* Ω_2 *on* V *the composition*

$$F^n_{n-k\,k}(\Omega_2)F^n_{k\,n-k}(\Omega_1)$$

is a transformation of $\mathbb{G}^n_k$ *induced by some* σ*-linear transformation of* V.

3 Proof of implication (ii) ⇒ (i)

Let Ω be a non-singular symplectic form on V. It is trivial that a planes $l \in \mathbb{G}^n_k$ belongs to the set $S^n_k(\Omega)$ if and only if

$$\dim l \cap l^{\perp}_{\Omega} \geq 1\ . \tag{2}$$

This implies the following inclusion

$$\mathbb{G}^n_{n-2}(s) \cap \mathbb{G}^n_{n-2}(s^{\perp}_{\Omega}) \subset \mathbb{G}^n_{n-2}(s) \cap S^n_{n-2}(\Omega) \quad \forall\, s \in \mathbb{G}^n_{n-1}\ .$$

Assume that the inverse inclusion fails and there exists

$$l \in \mathbb{G}^n_{n-2}(s) \cap S^n_{n-2}(\Omega)$$

which does not contain the line $s^{\perp}_{\Omega}$. The condition $l \in S^n_{n-2}(\Omega)$ guarantees the existence of a non-zero vector $x \in l$ such that $\Omega(x,y) = 0$ for each vector $y \in l$. The plane s is generated by the plane l and the line $s^{\perp}_{\Omega}$. Therefore, the similar equality holds for each vector $y \in s$; i.e. $x \in s^{\perp}_{\Omega}$. These arguments dispruve our hypothesis and the requred inclusion is proved. In other words, we ontain the equality

$$\mathbb{G}^n_{n-2}(s) \cap S^n_{n-2}(\Omega) = \mathbb{G}^n_{n-2}(s) \cap \mathbb{G}^n_{n-2}(s^{\perp}_{\Omega}) \quad \forall\, s \in \mathbb{G}^n_{n-1} \tag{3}$$

showing that $S^n_{n-2}(\Omega)$ satisfies condition $\mathcal{S}$.

It was noted above that a plane $l \in \mathbb{G}^n_k$ belongs to the set $S^n_k(\Omega)$ if and only if equation (2) holds. This implies that the bijection $F^n_{k\,n-k}(\Omega)$ transfers $S^n_k(\Omega)$ to $S^n_{n-k}(\Omega)$. Moreover, $F^n_{n-2\,2}(\Omega)$ transfers equation (3) to the following equality

$$\mathbb{G}^n_2(s) \cap S^n_2(\Omega) = \mathbb{G}^n_2(s) \cap \mathbb{G}^n_2(s^{\perp}_{\Omega}) \quad \forall\, s \in \mathbb{G}^n_1\ ;$$

i.e. $S^n_2(\Omega)$ satisfies condition $\mathcal{S}$.

4 Reduction of the case $k = 2$ to the case $k = n - 2$

Assume that Theorem 1 is proved for the case $k = n - 2$ and consiner a set $X \subset \mathbb{G}_2^n$ satisfying condition $\mathcal{S}$. Let g be a bijection of $\mathbb{G}_2^n$ onto $\mathbb{G}_{n-2}^n$ defined by some bilinear form. An immediate verification shows that $g(X)$ is a subset of $\mathbb{G}_{n-2}^n$ satisfying condition $\mathcal{S}$ and there exists a non-singular symplectic form Ω such that

$$g(X) = S_{n-2}^n(\Omega)\ .$$

Then

$$F_{n-2\,2}^n(\Omega) g(X) = S_2^n(\Omega)\ .$$

It was noted above that $F_{n-2\,2}^n(\Omega) g$ is a transfomation of $\mathbb{G}_2^n$ induced by a σ-linear trandformation f of V (property D). This implies that

$$X = S_2^n(\Omega')\ ,$$

where Ω' is the non-singular symplectic form defined by the condition

$$\Omega'(x, y) = \Omega(f(x), f(y)) \quad \forall\, x, y \in V\ .$$

5 Proof of implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)

Each set $X \subset \mathbb{G}_{n-2}^n$ satisfying condition $\mathcal{S}$ is defined by some mapping

$$F : \mathbb{G}_{n-1}^n \to \mathbb{G}_1^n\ .$$

Remark 5 It is trivial that for the case when $X = S_{n-2}^n(\Omega)$ the mapping F coincides with $F_{n-1\,1}^n(\Omega)$.

We state that the mapping F satisfies the following two conditions:

(1) each plane $s \in \mathbb{G}_{n-1}^n$ contains the line $F(s)$;

(2) for any two planes $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{G}_{n-1}^n$ the line $F(s_1)$ is contained in s_2 if and only if $F(s_2)$ is contained in s_1.

First condition is trivial.

To prove second condition consider the plane

$$l = s_1 \cap s_2 \in \mathbb{G}^n_{n-2} \ .$$

If $l \notin X$ then the lines $F(s_1)$ and $F(s_2)$ is not contained in l. Then condition (1) guarantees that $F(s_1)$ is not contained in s_2 and $F(s_2)$ is not contained in s_1. In the case when $l \in X$ the plane l contains $F(s_1)$ and $F(s_2)$. The fulfilment of condition (2) is proved.

Let Ω be a non-singular bilinear form on V. Recall that a vector $y \in V$ is called Ω-*orthogonal* to a vector $x \in V$ if $\Omega(x, y) = 0$. If our form is reflexive then y is Ω-orthogonal to x if and only if x is Ω-orthogonal to y. In this case we can say that the vectors x and y are Ω-*orthogonal*.

Now fix some reflexive non-singular bilinear form Ω on V and consider the mapping

$$f = F F^n_{1\,n-1}(\Omega) : \mathbb{G}^n_1 \to \mathbb{G}^n_1 \ .$$

It is not difficult to see that it satisfies the next conditions:

(1') for each $l \in \mathbb{G}^n_1$ the lines l and $f(l)$ are Ω-orthogonal;

(2') for any two lines $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{G}^n_1$ the line t_1 and $f(t_2)$ are Ω-orthogonal if and only if t_2 and $f(t_1)$ are Ω-orthogonal.

We want to show that *the mapping f is induced by some σ-linear transformation of V*. Then

$$F = f(F^n_{1\,n-1}(\Omega))^{-1} = f F^n_{n-1\,1}(\Omega)$$

(the form Ω is reflexive) and property C implies the existence of a non-singular bilinear form Ω' on V such that

$$F = F^n_{n-1\,1}(\Omega') \ .$$

Condition (1) guarantees that any plane $s \in \mathbb{G}^n_{n-1}$ contains the Ω'-orthogonal complement $s^{\perp}_{\Omega'}$. It is not difficult to see that each form satisfying this condition is symplectic and the required statement will be proved.

First of all show that *the mapping f is surjective.* Let $l \in \mathbb{G}^n_1$ and $l_1, ..., l_{n-1}$ be linearly independent lines generating the plane $l^{\perp}_{\Omega}$. Then the lines $f(l_1), ..., f(l_{n-1})$ generate a plane s the dimension of which is not greater than $n-1$. Consider a line l' contained in $s^{\perp}_{\Omega}$. Condition (2') shows that the line $f(l')$ is Ω-orthogonal to the lines $l_1, ..., l_{n-1}$; therefore, $f(l') = l$.

We state that *for each plane* $s \in \mathbb{G}^n_{n-1}$ *there exist planes* $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{G}^n_{n-1}$ *such that*

$$f(\mathbb{G}^n_1(s)) = \mathbb{G}^n_1(s_1)$$

and

$$f^{-1}(\mathbb{G}^n_1(s)) = \mathbb{G}^n_1(s_2)\ .$$

Let $l \in \mathbb{G}^n_1$ be the Ω-orthogonal complement to the plane s. The mapping f is a surjection and there exists a line $l_1 \in \mathbb{G}^n_1$ such that $f(l_1) = l$. Denote by s_1 the Ω-orthogonal complement to l_1. After that denote by s_2 the orthogonal complement to the line $f(l)$. Then condition (2') implies the fulfilment of the requred equalities.

Now assume that for some line $l \in \mathbb{G}^n_1$ there exist two lines $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{G}^n_1$ such that

$$f(l_i) = l \quad i = 1, 2\ .$$

The previous arguments show that

$$f(\mathbb{G}^n_1(l^{\perp}_{\Omega})) = \mathbb{G}^n_1((l_1)^{\perp}_{\Omega})$$

and

$$f(\mathbb{G}^n_1(l^{\perp}_{\Omega})) = \mathbb{G}^n_1((l_2)^{\perp}_{\Omega})\ .$$

Then the planes $(l_1)^{\perp}_{\Omega}$ and $(l_2)^{\perp}_{\Omega}$ are coincident; i.e. $l_1 = l_2$. In other words, we have proved that *the mapping* f *is a injective.*

Therefore, f is a bijection onto. Moreover, f and f^{-1} transfer each collection of linearly independent lines to a collection of linearly independent lines. The Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry [1–3] states that in this case f is induced by some σ-linear transformation of V.

[1] E. Artin, *Geometric Algebra*, Interscience, New York, 1957.

[2] J. Dieudonne, *La Geometrie des groupes classiques*, Springer – Verlag, Berlin – New York, 1971.

[3] O. T. O'Meara, *Lectures on linear groups*, Providence, Rhode Island, 1974.

[4] M. A. Pankov, *Irregular subsets of the Grassmannian manifolds*, 1999 (book, math.AT/9910081).